

In the United States District Court For
The Western District of Virginia
Charlottesville Division

CLERK'S OFFICE U.S. DIST. COURT
AT CHARLOTTESVILLE, VA
FILED

Sines, et al, Plaintiffs vs.

Kessler, et al, Defendants

JAN 26 2022

Civil Action 3:17-cv-00072

JULIA C. DUDLEY, CLERK
BY:  DEPUTY CLERK

Defendant's Motion to Extend All Post
Trial Deadlines By 12 Months

Comes now, the Defendant, Christopher
Cantwell, and he moves this Court
to extend all post trial deadlines by
12 months, in support he states
as follows,

- 1.) Cantwell previously moved the Court to
extend post trial deadlines by 60 days,
and to provide documents, including a
trial transcript,
- 2.) The Court extended deadlines by 30
days and denied all other requests
without prejudice,
- 3.) Cantwell cannot effectively prepare
a post trial motion without access
to the records of this case,
- 4.) Cantwell's release date is December 19th
2022, and will be released to a halfway
house or home confinement months prior
to that date,
- 5.) While in custody of USP Marion's
Communications Unit, Cantwell's legal
mail is being intercepted, kept secret

from him in some cases for over a month. Some seem to disappear permanently.

6.) Once ^(if) Cantwell is made aware of the documents' existence, select documents are deemed "sensitive" and kept from his possession. These documents Cantwell can only view under the supervision of Case Manager Simpkins, who is only available for ~~brief~~ brief periods a few times a week, on a good week, as detailed in Cantwell's 1-25-2022 sworn declaration.

7.) Cantwell is traversing the administrative Remedy Process to stop the Bureau of Prisons from unlawfully interfering in this litigation, and the Regional Director has extended the deadline to reply to Cantwell's most recent appeal.

8.) Cantwell has repeatedly asked the Court to intervene to stop the B.O.P. from obstructing his trial preparation and post trial work, to no avail.

9.) This litigation was premised on allegations of a racially motivated violent conspiracy.

10.) That conspiracy was not proven by a preponderance of the evidence.

11.) Despite the failure to prove their claims, Plaintiffs were awarded \$25,000,000

12.) the Plaintiffs' case at trial bore little resemblance to the parade of lies that got them into the courtroom.

13.) It appears the Plaintiffs improperly deceived the Court and the public to drag the targets of their enmity through an abuse of legal process, then succeeded in convincing a jury to hold Defendants liable for their political views and First Amendment protected speech and assembly.

14.) That likelihood must be properly addressed, and the circumstances of Cantwell's incarceration are preventing that from being possible.

15.) It is not sufficient that Cantwell's co-defendants and their attorneys do not suffer these hindrances. Defendants are not similarly situated, are not coordinating their defenses, are in some cases adverse to one another, and as evidenced at trial, had radically different approaches to questioning witnesses, presenting evidence, and arguing.

16.) After Cantwell's release, he can find and obtain his own documents, and produce his own documents using a computer.

17.) USP Marion's CMU is even blocking Cantwell from contacting Plaintiffs' Counsel.

18.) Current deadlines are imminent.

For these reasons, the Court should extend all post trial deadlines by 12 months.

Respectfully Submitted,
Christopher Cantwell
1-25-2022

